

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE CONFIRMATION NO. 10/696,532 10/28/2003 Naobumi Okada 03653/LH 6456 **EXAMINER** 1933 10/19/2005 7590 FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC **ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA** 220 5TH AVE FL 16 . NEW YORK, NY 10001-7708 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

1725

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/696,532	OKADA, NAOBUMI
		Examiner	Art Unit
		M. Alexandra Elve	1725
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON.	om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
· <u> </u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Dispositi	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawing(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 28 October 2003 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination of the control of the contr	awn from consideration. For election requirement. er. e: a) ⊠ accepted or b) □ objected or december of the drawing(s) is contained if the drawing(s) is contained if the drawing(s) is contained.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
			76 7 (Otton Of 1011111 1 O 102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/696,532

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7, 10-16, 18, 20-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bonner et al. (USPN 6,251,516).

Bonner et al. disclose isolation of cellular material under microscopic visualization. An imagining system is used to receive sample images. A laser is used to cut the desired section, which is then transfer to a slide for further examination and analysis. The system allows unaltered microscopic observation prior to, during and following processing. Additionally, microscopic objectives are used.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 8-9, 17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonner et al. as stated above and further in view of Caprioli (USPN 6,756,586).

Art Unit: 1725

Bonner et al. does not teach the evaporation of the imaging sample. Caprioli discloses the use of a laser beam to release samples for analysis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the release technique as taught by Caprioli in the Bonner et al. system because this yields more data for study and evaluation.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1725

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 571-272-1173. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 17, 2005.

M. Aléxandra Elve

Primary Examiner 1725